SAFETY AND HEALTH INVESTMENT PROJECTS FINAL REPORT

Safety & Health Investments Project (SHIP) Grant #2011XG00163 February 28, 2012 through December 31, 2013

Tom George tomg@teamsterstraining.org

Teamsters/AGC Training Center

05/30/2017

Tom George



Funding and support for this project has been provided by the State of Washington, Department of Labor & Industries, Safety & Health Investment Projects.

The Teamsters/AGC Training Center is solely responsible for the content of and views expressed in this report and related materials unless they have been formally endorsed by the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries.

Cover Sheet for SHIP Final Report

PAR

Narrative Report

Abstract:

Present a short overview of the nature and scope of the project and major findings (less than half a page).

In 2010 the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration launched a new comprehensive safety initiative entitled Compliance Safety and Accountability (CSA). This program is designed to allow the FMCSA to keep track of all safety violations that are found on the nations highways and assemble them into a national data base by carrier. Each time a driver is stopped or inspected on our nation's highways the resulting score is added to the score of the company for whom the driver works. This allows the FMCSA to track each company and intervene into those carriers operations who's safety records need improving. Through this intervention these companies can be encouraged to change their unsafe behaviors. The result is intended to reduce accidents and injuries involving heavy trucks and busses on our nations highways.

The TIRES program sponsored by L&I's SHARP project is designed to keep Washington's commercial drivers safe and healthy and reduce on their job injuries.

The Safer Drivers – Workers Training Workers program is designed to help deseminate the news about these important programs to the drivers who who are on the front line of their company's safety performance.

Purpose of Project:

Describe what the project was intended to accomplish.

The concept of the Safer Drivers – Workers Training Workers Program is to train drivers who are respected leaders in their companies and then have each one of those trained workers train ten or more co-workers. Our goal is to get the newest and most up-to-date safety information into the hands of more drivers and help to change behaviors and reduce injuries and accidents on the job.

Statement and Evidence of the Results:

Provide a clear statement of the results of the project include major findings and outcomes and provide evidence of how well the results met or fulfilled the intended objectives of the project.

The classes and materials were well received and feed back we received showed that the idea of having workers become the source of new safety information to their fellow workers is a good one.

Measures to Judge Success:

If relevant, state what measures or procedures were taken to judge whether/ how well the objectives were met and whether the project or some other qualified outside specialist conducted an evaluation.

See Survey results attached:

Relevant Processes and Lessons Learned:

The grant consists of 3 primary processes: Develop Materials, train fifteen 2 day Safer Drivers - Workers Training Workers initial courses and train fifteen 1 day Safer Drivers - Workers Training Workers follow-up courses. A modification was submitted and approved that:

- Allowed time to update the manual to reflect regulatory changes
- Increased the number of 2 day Initial Safer Driver Workers Training Workers courses from 15 to 16.
- Replace the fifteen 1 day follow-up courses with eleven 2 hour Seminars and four 4 hour seminars.

Our training accomplishments included:

- Fourteen 2 day Initial Safer Driver Courses 222 Worker Trainers Trained, 55 different companies.
- Nine 2 hour Seminars 302 Workers Trained.
- Nine 4 hour Seminars 253 Workers Trained.

We learned the following:

- Outreach for these classes was much more difficult than we anticipated especially the 2 day.
- Accounting, tracking, and reporting was a bigger task than we anticipated.
- Many companies employ a safety director. Some of those safety directors supported this training while others saw the training as a threat.
- Most companies that participated are truly concerned about worker safety. For
 example drivers that are paid by the hour could get on the radio and request a 30
 minute break with no questions asked. Thus a driver that was having a difficult
 time staying awake could break for a nap. This was unheard a short time ago.
- While most companies are very concerned about safety, production is still a priority. Some Worker Trainers were pulled from class due to work load.
- 4 Worker Trainers reported back to us on all of the classes that they trained. One completed all 50 weekly Safety meetings and started over.
- We believe based on the number of e-mails and phone calls that we received months after training that the manual has above average shelf life and is still being used in the field both as a training manual and reference manual.
- Based on the results of the attached survey that was sent to the worker trainers this training had a positive effect in regards to safety at their work place.
- While the 2 day seminar was very difficult to recruit for the concept of worker trainers returning to the work place and training their co-workers is a good one.
 We looked at ways to shorten it to 1 day and determined that the 2nd day was required for the training to be effective.

The 2 and 4 hour seminars allowed us to train a large number of workers that otherwise would have not received this training. The real strength is that in most every case these

seminars included both workers, management, and in some cases owners. We believe we were able to show both workers and management the benefits of working safely, complying with the regulations, and the need to continually train on the topics.

Product Dissemination:

Outline of how the products of the project have been shared or made transferrable.

Printed and electronic copies were distributed on flash drives and printed manual. Copy provided for the public access on the SHIP website.

Feedback:

Provide feedback from participants, trainees, individuals who have used your products/processes, as well as any reports from an independent evaluator on the project.

We only had one person complain about the format of our classes. He said that if he had known he would have to do a presentation to the class he wouldn't have come. All other comments were in line with the Survey results. See Survey results attached:

Project's Promotion of Prevention:

Explain how the results or outcomes of this project promote the prevention of workplace injuries, illnesses, and fatalities?

Uses:

How might the products of your project be used within the target industry at the end of your project?

Is there potential for the product of the project to be used in other industries or with different target audiences?

Not applicable outside of the trucking industry.

Organization Profile:

For awarded organizations, to include partners and collaborators, provide a brief description of each organization. Mission, vision, and purpose for each of the organizations who applied (this includes partners and collaborators) for the grant.

N/A

Additional Information

Project Type		Industry Classification (dealers to a control		
Best Practice		Industry Classification (check industry(s) this project reached directly)		
Technical Innovation		11 Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting		
☐Training and Education Develo	pment	21 Mining		
Event		22 Utilities		
□Intervention		☐ 23 Construction ☐ 31-33 Manufacturing ☐ 42 Wholesale Trade ☐ 44-45 Retail Trade		
Research				
Return to Work				
Other (Explain):				
		48-49 Transportation and Warehousing		
		51 Information		
Target Audience: Trucking Indu	ıstry -	52 Finance and Insurance		
Safety Managers and drivers		53 Real Estate and Rental and Leasing		
		54 Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services		
		55 Management of Companies and Enterprises		
		☐ 56 Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services ☐ 61 Educational Services ☐ 62 Health Care and Social Assistance ☐ 71 Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation		
Laurence Frankel				
Languages: English				
a		72 Accommodation and Food Services 81 Other Services (except Public Administration) 92 Public Administration		
Please provide the following infor	rmation	List, by number above, industries that		
(information may not apply to all projects)		project products could potentially be		
# classes/events:	<32	applied to.		
# hours trained	<278	48-49		
# students under 18	0	3336 493		
# workers	<779			
# companies represented	<55	Potential impact (in number of persons		
# reached (if awareness activities)	UNKN	or companies) after life of project?		
Total reached	779	And the second s		
Have there been requests for	project prod	ducts from external sources? Yes		
If Yes, please indicate sources of requests: Se	everal other o	driver training (CDL) companies has requested		
copies of the training manual.		4578 - 15 (3750) 1 (2570-053) 2570-4000 2570-5000 2570-5000 2570-5000 2570-5000 2570-5000 2570		

PART II

Financial Information Budget Summary

Project Title: Safer Drivers - Workers Training Workers

Project #: 2011XG00163

Report Date: 8/1/2017

Contact

Person: Tom George

Contact #: 509-545-8297

Completion

Start Date: 2/28/2012 **Date:** 1/31/2014

1.	Total original budget for the project	\$ 136,015.01
2.	Total original SHIP Grant Award	\$ 136,015.01
3.	Total of SHIP Funds Used	\$ 130,946.50
4.	Budget Modifications (= or - if applicable)	\$ <u>0</u>
5.	Total In-kind contributions	\$ 49,893.20
6.	Total Expenditures (lines 3+4+5)	\$ 130,946.50

Instructions:

- Complete the Supplemental Schedule (Budget) form first (on the next page).
- The final report must include all expenditures from date of completion of interim report through termination date of grant.
- Indicate period covered by report by specifying the inclusive dates.
- Report and itemize all expenditures during specified reporting period per the attached supplemental schedule.
- Forms must be signed by authorized person (see last page).
- Forward one copy of the report to Caprice Catalano, SHIP Grant Manager at PO Box 44612, Olympia, WA 98504-4612

PART II (Continued)

Financial Information Supplemental Schedules (Budget)

Project Title: Safer Drivers – Workers Training Workers

Project #: 2011XG00163

Report Date: 8/1/2017

Contact Person: T

Tom George

Contact #: 509-545-8297

Total Awarded: 136,015.01

ITEMIZED BUDGET: How were SHIP award funds used to achieve the purpose of your project?

Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference	
112,090.87	107,284.52	4,806.35	
		1,000.33	
	112,090.87	Budgeted for Project Amount Paid Out 112,090.87 107,284.52 Ifference and other relevant information:	

	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
B. SUBCONTRACTOR	n/a	n/a	n/a

7.98 Apr. 22.21	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference	
C. TRAVEL	8,402.74	8,618.05	(215.31)	

	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
D. SUPPLIES	n/a		
Explanation for I	Difference and other relevan	t information:	

Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
15,521.40	11,858.20	3,663.20
		3,003.20
	15,521.40	Budgeted for Project Amount Paid Out 15,521.40 11,858.20 ference and other relevant information:

	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference	
F. OTHER	0	3,398.25	(3,398.25)	

	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	136,015.01	130,946.50	5,068.51
	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS	n/a		
	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
TOTAL SHIP BUDGET	136,015.01	130,946.50	5,068.51,

	Budgeted for Project	Amount Paid Out	Difference
G. In-KIND		\$49,893.20	\$49,893.20
Explanation for I	Difference and other relevan	nt information:	

August 1, 2017	Tom George	
Date	Signature of Project Manager	

Signature of Project Manager

I hereby certify that the expenditures listed on this report were made with my approval:

PART III Attachments:

Provide resources such as written material, training packages, or video/ audio tapes, curriculum information, etc. produced under the grant.

Also include copies of publications, news releases, curriculum, posters, brochures, etc.

The above information should also be provided on a CD or DVD for inclusion in the file.

- DVD: must be in an MP4 format
 Other video files must be provided in uncompressed source files.
- Publications:
 PDF of publication should be provided. SHIP also needs the original publishing documents (design documents), .eps, and .psd (if any illustrations/graphics are used)

REMINDER!!: All products produced, whether by the grantee or a subcontractor to the grantee, as a result of a SHIP grant are in the public domain and can not be copyrighted, patented, claimed as trade secrets, or otherwise restricted in any way.

Safer Drivers Workers Training...

Comp. Rate 82% Incomp. Responses 6 Total Responses 28

Survey Results

Question 01	Were you paid to atte	nd? (<i>Mandatory</i>)					Answers 28 100%		Skips 0 0%
		0%		32.5%		65%	COUNT	PERCENT	r
Yes							18	64%)
No							9	32%)
Other com	pensation was provided.						1	496	ì
Question 02	What is your primary j	ob function? (Man	datory)				Answers 28 100%		Skips O 0%
	0%		28.5%		57%	COUNT		PERCENT	
Truck drive	r					17		57%	
Safety						5		17%	
Other Option	on .					3		10%	
Manageme	nt					2		7%	
Operations						2		7%	
Administrat	tive					1		3%	
Maintenand	ce					0		0%	
Question 03	What is your employer	s primary busines	s? (Mandatory))			Answers 28 100%		Skips O 0%
		0%	21%		42%	co	UNT	PERCENT	
Constructio	n						12	41%	
Trucking/Tr	ansportation						8	28%	
Manufactur	ing						5	17%	
Other Optio	n						2	7%	
Distribution	/Warehousing						2	7%	
Service							0	0%	
Question 04	How helpful was the co	ourse content to yo	ou in your line	of work? (Man	datory)		Answers 28 100%		Skips O 0%

	0%	43%	86%	COUNT		PERCENT	
Excellent				24		86%	
Good				4		14%	
Not good				0		0%	
Question					Answers		Skips
05	How well did the course ma	aterials cover the subjects?	(Mandatory)		28 100%		0
					100%		070
	0%	45%	90%	COUNT		PERCENT	
Excellent				25		89%	
Good				3		11%	
Not good				0		0%	
Question 06	Were any questions you ha	d answered to your satisfac	ction? (Mandatory)		Answers 28		Skips O
00		,			100%		0%
	0%	46.5%	93%	COUNT		PERCENT	
Yes			£)	26	40	93%	
Somewhat				2		7%	
No				0		0%	
Question	How helpful was the safety	meeting participation in de	weloning your		Answers		Skips
07	understanding of the subject	cts? (Mandatory)	veloping your		28 100%		0
	0%	(4784	86%	COUNT	# g	PERCENT	
Excellent	0.5	43%	8079	24		86%	
Good				4		14%	
Not good				0		0%	
100 8000				· ·		0.0	
	60			-	Answers		Skips
Question Question	Before attending this class v Industries? (Mandatory)	vere you aware of the TIRES	S Program at Labor &		28		0
UU	massines. (mangatory)				100%		0%
	0%	23.5%	47%	COUNT		PERCENT	
No				13		46%	
Yes				8		29%	
Somewhat				7		25%	
Question	Are you more attentive to sa	afety when at work than yo	u were before taking t	this	Answers 28		Skips O
09	class? (Mandatory)				20		U

					100%	0%
	0%	38%	76%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Yes				21	75%	
Somewhat	r.			4	14%	
No				3	11%	
Question 10	How would you describe you (Mandatory)	ır understanding of CSA be	fore taking this class?		Answers 28 100%	Skips 0 0%
	0%	23.5%	47%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Not good				13	46%	
Good				13	46%	
Excellent				2	7%	
Question 11	How has your increased awa co-workers drive? (Mandator)	reness of CSA changed the /)	way you and your		Answers 28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	41.5%	83%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Much safer				23	82%	
Somewhat s	safer			5	18%	
Not much sa	afer			0	0%	
Question 12	How often do you wear your (Mandatory)	seatbelt while driving a cor	nmercial motor vehic	le?	28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	50%	100%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Always				28	100%	
Never				0	0%	
Sometimes				0	0%	
Question 13	As a result of this training are you and your co-workers more likely to wear your seatbelts while operating a commercial motor vehicle? (Mandatory) Answers 28					Skips O 0%
	0%	46.5%	93%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Yes				26	93%	
No				2	7%	
Not at all				0	0%	

	Will 1997					
question 14	How often do you talk on a motor vehicle? (Mandatory)	hand held cell phone while d	riving a commercial	9	Answers 28 100%	Skips
					100%	0%
	0%	41.5%	83%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Never				23	82%	
Sometimes			(9)	4	14%	
Frequently				1	4%	
Question 15	How would you rate your co	mpetence as a driver? (Mand	latory)	_e A	28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	27%	54%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Excellent				15	54%	
Good				13	46%	
Not good				0	096	
Question 16	Where do you get the best sa driver? (<i>Mandatory</i>)	afety information that inspire	ed you to be a better		28 100%	Skips O 0%
Safety meetir		29.3%	29		PERCENT	
				21	58%	
Other Option				9	25%	
Internet				5	14%	
Posters at the	eworkplace			1	3%	
	How have you utilized the Sa (Mandatory)	fer Drivers Workers Training	Workers Manual?		28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	33.5%	67%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Safety meetin	gs.			24	67%	
One-on-One				9	25%	
I haven't				2	6%	
Other Option				1	3%	
Question F	How many of the safety briefi to-workers? (<i>Mandatory</i>)	ng topics have you presente	d to your fellow		swers 28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	16%	32%	COUNT	PERCENT	
5 to 10				9	31%	

	0%	16%	W34-75			
More than 20		1079	32%	COUNT	85	
10 to 20				6	2	1%
5 or less				6	2	196
Other Option				6	2	196
				2	į	7%
19 Because	cause of this training ho areness of your co-work	ow successful have you been in kers? (<i>Mandatory</i>)	າ improving the saf	ety	Answers 28 100%	Skip (09
	0%	30.5%	61%	COUNT	PERCEN	ıT
Good				17	619	
Excellent	Ĭ			10	369	
Not good				1	49	
Question How 20 beca	r many fellow co-worke ause you took this class				Answers 28 100%	Skips O 0%
More than 20	0%	18.5%	37%	COUNT	PERCEN	т
5 to 10		12		11	379	6
5 or less				9	30%	6
				5	179	6
10 to 20				4	13%	6
Other Option				1	3%	ì
					04 MINISTRATIVE PAGES	
21 How your	frequently do you talk t CSA scores? (<i>Mandatory</i>	to your co-workers about the i	mportance of know	ving	28 100%	Skips O 0%
How	frequently do you talk t CSA scores? (<i>Mandatory</i> 0%	to your co-workers about the i	importance of know	ving count	28	0
How	CSA scores? (Mandatory	7			28 100%	0
21 your	CSA scores? (Mandatory	7		COUNT	28 100% PERCENT	0
21 your	CSA scores? (Mandatory	7		COUNT 16	28 100% PERCENT 57%	0
Frequently Sometimes Never estion Overal	0%	29%	58%	COUNT 16 9 3	28 100% PERCENT 57% 32%	0
Frequently Sometimes Never estion Overal	0%	29%	58%	COUNT 16 9 3	28 100% PERCENT 57% 32% 11% Answers 28	O 0% Skips O
Frequently Sometimes Never estion Overal	II how would you rate the corkplace? (Mandatory)	29% he impact of this training on s	58% afe work practices	COUNT 16 9 3	28 100% PERCENT 57% 32% 11% Answers 28 100%	O 0% Skips O

	0%	34%	68%	COUNT	PERCENT	8
Not good				1	4%	
Question 23	Would you recommen (Mandatory)	d this class to others in th	e transportation induc	try?	Answers 28 100%	Skips O 0%
	0%	50%	100%	COUNT	PERCENT	
Yes				28	100%	
No				0	0%	
PAGE 3						